

Lecture Examples**Ex 1** Consider the argument

1. $p \rightarrow q$

2. $\sim q$

 $\therefore \sim p$

Use a truth table to verify its validity.

Ex 2 Consider the argument

1. $p \vee r$

2. $\sim q$

 $\therefore q \vee r$

Use a truth table to determine if it is valid or not.

Ex 3 Translate the following natural language argument into a symbolic argument. Then determine whether the argument is valid or not. “ ‘A dog’s not mad. You grant that?’ ‘I suppose so,’ said Alice. ‘Well then,’ the cat went on, ‘you see a dog growls when it’s angry, and wags its tail when it’s pleased. Now *I* growl when I’m pleased, and wag my tail when I’m angry. Therefore, I’m mad!’”

On-Your-Own Examples

Ex 1 Use the given symbols to rewrite the argument in symbolic form.

p : The senator supports new taxes.

q : The senator is reelected.

The senator is not reelected if she supports new taxes.

The senator does not support new taxes.

Therefore, the senator is reelected.

Ex 2 Is the following statement a tautology? (Hint: Use a truth table.)

$$\sim (((p \rightarrow q) \wedge p) \wedge \sim q)$$

Ex 3 Define the necessary symbols and rewrite the statements in symbolic form. Then use a truth table to determine whether each argument is valid.

- (a) If you do not recycle newspapers, you are not an environmentalist.
If you recycle newspapers, you save trees.

Therefore, you are an environmentalist only if you save trees.

- (b) All forest rangers are environmentalists.
All forest rangers are storytellers.

Therefore, all environmentalists are storytellers.

- (c) It snows only if it's freezing.
It isn't freezing.

Therefore, it doesn't snow.

- (d) All Jedi are one with the force.
Yoda is one with the force.

Therefore, Yoda is a Jedi.