

Lecture Examples

Ex 1 Consider the argument

1. $p \rightarrow q$
2. $\sim q$

$\therefore \sim p$

Use a truth table to verify its validity.

Goal: $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (\sim q)) \rightarrow \sim p$

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\sim q$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (\sim q)$	$\sim p$	$(1) \wedge (2) \rightarrow \therefore$
T	T	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

tautology, so the argument is valid

Ex 2 Consider the argument

1. $p \vee r$
2. $\sim q$

$\therefore q \vee r$

Use a truth table to determine if it is valid or not.

Goal: $((p \vee r) \wedge \sim q) \rightarrow q \vee r$

p	q	r	$p \vee r$	$\sim q$	$(1) \wedge (2)$	$q \vee r$	$(1) \wedge (2) \rightarrow \therefore$
T	T	T	T	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	F	F	T

not a tautology, so the argument is not valid

Ex 3 Translate the following natural language argument into a symbolic argument. Then determine whether the argument is valid or not. " 'A dog's not mad. You grant that?' 'I suppose so,' said Alice. 'Well then,' the cat went on, 'you see a dog growls when it's angry, and wags its tail when it's pleased. Now I growl when I'm pleased, and wag my tail when I'm angry. Therefore, I'm mad!'"

d : It is a dog

m : It is mad

b : It growls when angry and wags its tail when pleased

Argument:

1. $d \rightarrow \sim m$
 2. $d \rightarrow b$
 3. $\sim b$
- $\therefore m$

Goal: $((1) \wedge (2)) \wedge (3) \rightarrow \therefore$

d	b	m	$\sim m$	$d \rightarrow \overset{(1)}{\sim m}$	$d \rightarrow \overset{(2)}{b}$	$\overset{(3)}{\sim b}$	$(1) \wedge (2)$	$((1) \wedge (2)) \wedge (3)$	$((1) \wedge (2)) \wedge (3) \rightarrow$
T	T	T	F	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	F	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T	F
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	F

Not a tautology, so the argument is invalid

On-Your-Own Examples

Ex 1 Use the given symbols to rewrite the argument in symbolic form.

p : The senator supports new taxes.

q : The senator is reelected.

The senator is not reelected if she supports new taxes.

The senator does not support new taxes.

Therefore, the senator is reelected.

$$\begin{array}{l} 1. p \rightarrow \sim q \\ 2. \sim p \\ \hline \therefore q \end{array}$$

Ex 2 Is the following statement a tautology? (Hint: Use a truth table.)

$$\sim(((p \rightarrow q) \wedge p) \wedge \sim q)$$

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p$	$\sim q$	$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge p) \wedge \sim q$	$\sim(((p \rightarrow q) \wedge p) \wedge \sim q)$
T	T	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	F	T

The statement is a tautology

Ex 3 Define the necessary symbols and rewrite the statements in symbolic form. Then use a truth table to determine whether each argument is valid.

- (a) If you do not recycle newspapers, you are not an environmentalist. 1. $\sim r \rightarrow \sim e$
 If you recycle newspapers, you save trees. 2. $r \rightarrow s$

Therefore, you are an environmentalist only if you save trees.

$\therefore e \rightarrow s$

r : You recycle newspapers
 e : You are an environmentalist
 s : You save trees

Goal: $((\sim r \rightarrow \sim e) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)) \rightarrow (e \rightarrow s)$

r	e	s	$\sim r$	$\sim e$	$\sim r \rightarrow \sim e$ (1)	$r \rightarrow s$ (2)	$(1) \wedge (2)$ (3)	$e \rightarrow s$ (4)	$((1) \wedge (2)) \rightarrow (4)$ (5)
T	T	T	F	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T

Invalid

- (b) All forest rangers are environmentalists.
 All forest rangers are storytellers.

1. $r \rightarrow e$

2. $r \rightarrow s$

$\therefore e \rightarrow s$

Therefore, all environmentalists are storytellers.

r : You are a forest ranger
 e : You are an environmentalist
 s : You are a storyteller

r	e	s	$r \rightarrow e$ (1)	$r \rightarrow s$ (2)	$e \rightarrow s$ (3)	$(1) \wedge (2)$ (4)	$((1) \wedge (2)) \rightarrow (3)$ (5)
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Invalid

(c) It snows only if it's freezing.
It isn't freezing.

1. $s \rightarrow f$
 2. $\sim f$
- $\therefore \sim s$

Therefore, it doesn't snow.

s : it snows
 f : it is freezing

\therefore ② ①

s	f	$\sim s$	$\sim f$	$s \rightarrow f$	$① \wedge ②$	$(① \wedge ②) \rightarrow \therefore$
T	T	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

valid

(d) All Jedi are one with the force.
Yoda is one with the force.

1. $j \rightarrow f$
 2. f
- $\therefore j$

Therefore, Yoda is a Jedi.

j : it is a Jedi
 f : it is one with the force

\therefore ② ①

j	f	$j \rightarrow f$	$① \wedge ②$	$(① \wedge ②) \rightarrow \therefore$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	F	T

invalid

